







electronic survey and teacher coursework. The coursework examined included course discussion posts and particular assignments, such as a science autobiography that participants wrote at the start of their fellowship and an action research project they completed at the end of the program. In addition, the researchers' own experience as instructors for the fellowship allowed us to reflect and observe the participants during the two years, while we interacted with them through the fellowship. This relationship can be viewed as one of a 'researcher teacher' doing 'self-study research' in that the researchers were studying the program as a whole, in which they were each teaching several of the courses that comprised the program (Roth, 2007, p. 1210). This type of relationship is desirable, as it 'brings teachers' voices into the larger science education research community' (p. 1219) as well as increases the rigor of the study, due to the 'long-term observation' (Merriam, 1998, p. 204) of participants and the intimate knowledge of the program studied by the researchers, giving them 'insider status' (Roth, 2007, p.1210).

To analyze the data, electronic files of participant coursework were sorted into folders for each participant and coded openly for broad categories and themes, utilizing Atlas TI. Similarly, interview transcripts were made from digital recordings and also sorted and openly coded. It was not until this first pass of coding was completed that the theme of mental models clearly emerged. Once that theme was recognized, the entire set of data was again coded; using the lens of mental models, and additional questions arose. At this juncture, a survey was created through Survey Monkey and was distributed to participants. This survey consisted of several open-ended questions allowing a free response. The responses were sorted and coded and then compared both among participants and within a participants' own set of responses. During the analysis, the researchers' own experiences with each participant and within the organization helped to construct and organize findings.

## FINDINGS

The data sources revealed that teachers reported, in many cases, drastic changes in their practice after participating in the online fellowship. Particularly, teachers said they used a more inquiry-based approach to science teaching and utilized more technology or technology in a more advanced or meaningful way. It was also noted that many teachers' experiences in science as a child were later played out in some form in their teaching practice, which was then altered in some way after the fellowship. These findings point to a change or shift in participants' mental models of science teaching.

The data from the questionnaires revealed that the majority of participants did not have access to technology or inquiry-based activities during science class as students in grade school, nor did their own science lessons utilize technology or inquiry practices. Although 'hands-on' activities were mentioned by half of respondents, only one teacher reported an inquiry experience as part of grade school science work. The technology experienced by participants as young learners was limited: six reported having viewed film strips and VHS, two experienced overhead projectors and transparencies and one reported the use of a telescope.

These experiences largely laid the groundwork for future practice: Hands-on work was cited as utilized by six of the seven that experienced hands-on work themselves. Of the 14 participants, five mentioned presenting inquiry lessons at some point during the school year to students during their teaching at the start of the fellowship. The frequency of these lessons during the school year was for only one or two topics of study for two teachers, often for another teacher 'because of focused professional development,' and not specified for two teachers. However, none of these inquiry activities were cited to utilize technology. Some examples of participants' reporting of their STEM teaching practice before participation in the fellowship:

*Dan:* 'My typical lesson was hands-on but they were isolated one-offs.'

*Patty:* 'I tried to orient my lessons around hands on activities, but they were more like following a recipe where everyone was supposed to have the same outcome.'

*Joe:* 'Read and discuss text and answer questions'

*Anna:* 'We took notes, built models, and read out of the book'

*Lori:* 'A typical lesson was more script based, by the book, with a pre determined outcome. I would present instruction in a step by step manner without deviation'

In contrast, the technology use of the teachers was vastly different from their own experiences, likely because of the availability of computers and internet access in recent years. The technology the majority (ten) of participants mentioned using with their students largely included computers or SmartBoard technology (three). Other forms of technology mentioned individually, each by different teachers, were: document camera; digital probes; and overhead projector. However, the types of activities conducted using the computer was limited to viewing videos, PowerPoint presentations, webquests and student research. For example, some teachers responded:

*Kim:* 'The typical lessons that were provided by the district had some websites and overheads that were

reproductions from the workbook.'

*Lori:* 'My science lessons would include students making a picture on the computer using stamper tools and typing vocabulary words from the lesson.'

*Patty:* 'The only technology I would use with science was a Smartboard. It was a good way to gather data and have students participating in showing their results.'

*Joe:* 'Computer labs for research and smart board lesson made by me to teach. Notes with short video clips from sites like Teachersdomain.org.'

*Charlie:* 'Use of YouTube as 'engage' for students, PowerPoint review presentations, Document Camera to show student work, on a daily basis.'

After their completion of the fellowship, the majority of participants reported dramatic changes in their practice. All participants reported an increase in the frequency of or the inclusion of inquiry lessons (except for one teacher who had recently changed jobs and was solely doing test preparation), with two teachers reporting they now use inquiry 'daily' and with every science lesson compared to none before the fellowship.

*Nancy:* 'My typical lessons are very detailed in inquiry base learning and hands-on manipulatives with use of graphic organizers and SMART BOARD materials.'

*Patty:* 'A typical science lesson now is much more inquiry oriented. I give students a chance to explore the concept in some way to generate their own ideas and uncover misconceptions first, then I help them acquire some content in the concept before having them explore their own questions within the parameters I set.'

*Kim:* '[Three] days a week is the typical for inquiry lessons, however they usually build on each other throughout the week.'

The majority of participants also cited increased use of technology in varied, seemingly more meaningful ways, including the use of specific instructional tools they learned through the fellowship.

*Dan:* 'I infuse lots of technology now. My students write reflective blog entries about their week. They research online. They will soon be creating videos in class. They document their lab experiences digitally. They have online discussions about what goes on in class.'

*Patty:* 'I no longer have a smartboard, so I don't use that for my lessons. I do incorporate 3D view lessons and plan to use the data from Signals of Spring in a long term project this spring.'

*Anna:* 'I use several NASA programs such as AstroVenture'

*Lori:* '[An] example lesson is a rocketry unit I do with 5th grade. Students are put in teams. . . . Students also explore flight and rocket structure through creation and testing of straw rockets. Student then use laptop computers to research design ideas for creating a water bottle rockets. They agree upon a design, create the rocket, then we have a launch day to test them and discuss results.'

A theme of increased use of inquiry and technology in the participants' classroom was evident through their responses to the open-ended questionnaire. Although inquiry lessons and technology were not foundations of their own education in science in grade school, the teachers' experiences through the fellowship played a role in changing their teaching practices. These changes, as they relate to the program were also evident in the other data sources.

**Lori.** Lori has a passion for hands-on science and utilized animals as a vehicle to getting students immersed in the subject. She provided experiences for her second graders of caring for animals in the classroom, lessons relating to the animals she keeps on her farm, marine science materials made available from Sea World (which is not far from her school) and agricultural lessons related to 'growing crops.' Despite this rich context, in her survey she explained: 'I didn't do any inquiry based lessons due to lack of understanding how to guide them as well as fear of the unknown, so to speak.' She has since become the computer teacher for her school and at the start of this program one of her goals was to bring in more math and science to her lessons. Lori explained in her science autobiography:

Formal science education as a child was rather sketchy, but growing up on a farm created its own living, breathing scientific community and my main instructor as I learned first hand how animals survived, gave birth and raised their young, how crops grew and what it took to manage those crops. . . . Once I started my career as a second grade teacher, . . . I incorporated my farming background as kids cared for various animals in the classroom . . . I occasionally brought my own animals to share when opportunity allowed. I also taught my second graders about growing crops, and eating them as they learned to make strawberry jam.

It is clear the Lori drew upon her mental model of learning science through agriculture experiences as the best way to teach science to her young students. Her own experience and resulting mental model provided a powerful influence on her instruction.

Lori, who was enthusiastic about agriculture in the classroom, found the fellowship was helpful in giving her tools to have students develop knowledge on their own. Similar to her account of bringing animals into the classroom, she ‘brought’ polar bears and reindeer to her students through an online research project she learned about through [the fellowship]. This extended project, including an online symposium in which students were able to share findings with students in other states, Lori showed that her original mental model of using nature and animals to promote science learning was adapted to use online resources. This change made her feel that students were getting more out of the experience, allowing them to be investigators:

I am learning how to set up my projects and situations to where they are developing more ownership of what they’re doing and learning to be investigators themselves instead of me feeding it to them.

The dramatic shift from being hands-on in the classroom or on the farm, to being online to learn about animals clearly represents a change in how Lori believes science can be taught and learned. Her statements about students doing their own investigations demonstrate that she believes science can and should be learned this way: using tools gained from her fellowship experience. This is a big mental model adjustment, but although it is a change, her fundamental ideals about teaching science remain intact, channeled through this new resource.

**Anna.** In her science autobiography, Anna talks about her deep and life-long interest in the ‘natural world.’ After being dissuaded from a career in wildlife biology by a counselor who did not think it was a good choice for her because of her gender, Anna worked briefly as a social worker and then became a teacher. She has now been teaching for six years, and teaches all of the fourth grade science in her school. Even though she is a leader in science instruction there, the majority of her lessons before the study were not inquiry-based and she felt uncomfortable with inquiry activities. Despite her inclusion of hand-on lessons and animals and insects, particularly, in the classroom, she sought more training in science instruction and was pleased to be accepted to the fellowship.

In her science autobiography, Anna vividly recalled her childhood science experiences involving insects, fish and crustaceans. As a fourth-grade teacher, she tries to excite students by using similar creatures in her lessons:

From the time I could walk, my parents took me to the Platte River and explored. Every year when the Platte would go dry and leave little pools, we would head down there with our dip nets and see what was left behind. We would catch crayfish, minnows, leeches, and sometimes large carp which we would set free into the channel. It was great fun. ... My mom, since we had chickens that set on their own eggs would talk to us about what was going on in the egg, help the babies out of the eggs, and sometimes go bug hunting with us just for fun. ... My parents got me a microscope set with a dissection kit, specimen jars, and brine shrimp! I thought I was in heaven! Those brine shrimp were the most interesting thing that I had ever seen up to that point in my life, and I had great fun with them. ... I love to show children things that they have never been exposed to before ... In my classroom I have a colony of Madagascar hissing cockroaches. Kids are fascinated by those large insects. The girls that squeal at them at the beginning of the year soon learn that they are nothing to fear. I also bring a Garter snake in every year

Anna’s account illustrates how existing mental models formed from experiences learning science provide a model for instruction. Similar to Lori, Anna reported that her teaching practice changed dramatically after her involvement in the Fellowship, but still harked back to her previous experiences. Anna shared in her survey that her science lessons before the fellowship consisted of ‘tak[ing] notes, build[ing] models and read[ing] out of the book.’ Her capstone project for the program, an action research study, focused on inquiry and hands-on experiences with insects for her students to explore female students’ feelings about bugs. She has also increased the frequency of inquiry lessons from once a school year to two to three times per month. Although Anna reports she is ‘still uneasy’ with inquiry, it is clear that her professional development experience played a large role in changing her practice and her mental model of what science teaching should be.

**Patty.** Patty has been in the classroom for more than eight years, but this is actually a career change for her. She worked as a physical therapist for 18 years and then went back to school to become an elementary school teacher. In addition to her time in the classroom, she also teaches an after-school science magnet program and has been a science specialist. Even though she is an experienced science teacher, before the study, Patty described hands-on activities she conducted with students as formulaic in nature and with one desired outcome. She did not attempt many inquiry activities in her practice and, according to her survey, felt like she ‘didn’t really know what [she] was doing.’

Patty cited in her survey that she did not remember any elementary science experiences and explained in her autobiography that her mental model for teaching in general arose from her experience with patients in her former career:

Both my training and work ... showed me what scientific thinking was about. Early on, I learned to evaluate patients

and rely on the data I collected (both subjective and objective) to formulate working diagnoses and treatment plans. As a young physical therapist, the information I gathered often felt disconnected as I struggled to understand the significance of individual tests. As I became more experienced and adept at evaluation, patterns began to emerge and I saw connections between seemingly incongruous pieces of information when I would evaluate and treat patients. . . . Developing an effective treatment plan was like putting the pieces of a puzzle together. . . . Physical therapy was a constant interplay between evaluation and treatment. For me, they went hand in hand. . . . As my footing as a teacher became firmer, I began to apply what I'd knew about people and learning from my days a physical therapist to my teaching. I really worked at evaluating students and their response to learning on many different levels. What were they good at? What type of learner were they? What skills did they have? How could I help them feel successful? What could I do to help them connect to learning? . . . I was still thinking like a scientist and applying those skills to my new situation as a teacher.

Patty has a strong mental model of utilizing evaluation techniques and familiarity with her students to drive her practice. Through the fellowship, she was able to change that model to incorporate inquiry practices. Patty feels strongly about this new direction in her teaching, so strongly that she is sharing it with her colleagues and administration.

What I learned both from the methods class and the research I did - in terms of what's considered best practice right now for teaching science - using an inquiry approach, meta-cognition all those kinds of things. So that's what I share with my teaching colleagues and I also shared with Administration... kind of a perspective of what STEM is and how, how integrated science teaching is really important for kids in terms of making sense in science.

While Patty cites assessment as an integral piece of her instruction even after the study, in her interview, she expresses how she feels a dramatic change in her teaching has occurred: 'My teaching is completely different; I feel confident using an inquiry approach now where I didn't before.' This shows that her mental model of how to teach science has shifted, making inquiry an important part, which she now feels comfortable doing.

## DISCUSSION

The goal of this study was to examine teachers' mental models as they participated in an intensive fellowship program that focused on the use of inquiry and real data with students. The findings suggest that two-year online-based program played a role in teachers' mental model change. A review of literature illustrates the difficulty in making changes to mental models (Lortie, 1975; Pajares, 1992). Through interviews, coursework and a questionnaire, it was noted that major changes in teaching practices were evident, especially in terms of utilizing inquiry and technology activities with students. These shifts in practice can be related to changes in their mental models of what science teaching looks like. The progress illustrated in this study is particularly interesting as the use of technology in the classroom increases, which is a departure from the way most teachers learned science.

Participants in this study were in grade school before the advent of the widespread use of computing for personal and educational use. These teachers largely did not learn science in school through technology nor inquiry practices. Some of the teachers did have hands-on school experiences that were not inquiry-based. We are proposing these school-based experiences in learning science had created mental models of science teaching that did not include the use of technology nor inquiry, which was evidenced through participants' own descriptions of their teaching practice before the study. Research shows that teacher beliefs about technology and student learning affects how they use technology to support curriculum (Ertmer, Ottenbreit-Leftwich, Sadik, Sendurur & Sendurur, 2012; Ertmer & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, 2010; Kim, Kim, Lee, Spector & DeMeester, 2013). The experiences in the present study, although nearly completely online, encouraged and supported the use of both technology and inquiry in the classroom. This was accomplished through coursework, mentoring and peer interaction. Several participants' teaching changed dramatically, moving from no reported inquiry lessons to daily use of such teaching. Also, the use of technology to do inquiry or support inquiry activities also was reported, which can be interpreted as an increased familiarity and affinity for use of both. The data suggests that the fellowship experience was meaningful enough to spur a change in practice, which we are correlating to a shift in teachers' mental models.

It is also interesting to note, that several of the participants did have informal, out-of-school inquiry experiences that may have laid the groundwork or perhaps pre-disposed them to utilizing inquiry in the classroom, once the connection of how to do that was made clear. Although outside the scope of this paper, this is an important point, since connecting to existing schema and ideas about successful learning, even informal, self-directed childhood exploration, can be valuable (McPherson, 2014). Clearly, more research would be needed to explore this idea.

A compelling point that surfaced is for the teachers Lori, Anna and Patty detailed specifically here, strong existing mental models about the way science teaching should be carried out did not disappear, but instead accommodated the new mental

model or shift in mental model. This outcome resonates with the literature that states mental models are extraordinarily hard to change. As reported by the teachers themselves, clearly, practices and mental models DID change, however the change remained within the parameters of original mental models of science teaching (animals, insects, and evaluation). This trend in the data is important to note because it shows both how powerful mental models can be, yet also that they are not impervious. This finding is in line with prior research on preservice teachers who integrated new content into and expanded upon their existing mental models through their teacher education programs (Hollingsworth, 1989; Anderson, 2001). The significance of existing models being malleable in terms of expansion is important to note, as this may be a significant entry point for professional development and growth.

The unique features of the fellowship studied here provided an environment that was rich with both pedagogical and social resources, despite being conducted in its entirety online. In addition, coursework often required reflective pieces to be written; where teachers had the opportunity to think about their practice and how new resources and/or techniques could be implemented in their own classrooms. In general, courses offered choice for assignments and those assignments were largely related directly to an individual's own practice or students. The fellowship provided a forum for teachers to discuss these ideas and projects with other teachers in the fellowship. Professional development literature (Ball and Cohen, 1999; Borko, 2004; Hewson, 2007) cites these as desirable qualities and our findings suggest this highly personal fellowship was very effective in providing an environment where teachers could learn and grow. Teacher growth has been related to shifts in beliefs and mental models in other studies (Bryan & Abell, 1999; Bryan & Atwater, 2002; Moore, 2008). The findings here indicate that there may be a connection between teacher growth and learning and mental model shifts. This blended online format has already been found to promote the growth of professional communities of teachers who share best practices as well to help teachers of science strengthen their conceptions of the Nature of Science and identify and clarify student misconceptions (Author, 2010),

## CONCLUSION

All teachers enter the classroom with preexisting beliefs and mental models built from their own experiences that can influence their methods of instruction and expectations of learners (Calderhead & Robson, 1991; Moore, 2008b; Nespor 1987). These existing mental models can be very difficult to change (Lortie, 1975; Pajares, 1992), but some recent studies have found a belief shift may be possible (Bryan & Abell, 1999; Bryan & Atwater, 2002; Harrington & Hathaway, 1995; Moore, 2008). The findings of this study provide support to that idea.

After a two-year, interactive, online fellowship program, teachers provided evidence of teaching practices that had shifted, and in some cases significantly, in terms of inquiry approach and the use of technology. The teachers who participated in this study were not educated in a time when computers were used to aid instruction in grade school and largely did not utilize computers or the Internet in their own teaching. Finding ways to help teachers become more comfortable using digital resources is a challenge. 'The single biggest problem facing education today is that our Digital Immigrant instructors, who speak an outdated language (that of the pre-digital age), are struggling to teach a population that speaks an entirely new language' (Prensky, 2001, p.2). This study provides an example of a model that was successful in providing experiences that that fostered teachers' integration of digital resources into their instructional practice. Generally, this study raises questions on how to help teachers adjust their mental models, which is also important for examining how teachers may learn to incorporate more digital resources in the classroom. We see that providing a learning environment that connects to the new methods, coupled with reflection, can help foster change.

Existing mental models about the way science should be taught were evidenced through teachers' science autobiographies and questionnaire responses. However, due to the nature of the fellowship, where online resources were explained and showcased, participants were able to incorporate these new ideas and actually change their practice. This is a compelling outcome that adds to the growing body of literature that shows changes can be made in teachers' preexisting beliefs, in particular in regard to inquiry-based instruction and the use of technology. These findings suggest that additional research is warranted to uncover what exactly helps facilitate these types of shifts and how preservice education and inservice professional development can better utilize those methods to help support teachers' growth as practitioners in the digital age.



## REFERENCES

- Anderson, L. M. (2001). Nine prospective teachers and their experiences in teacher education: The role of entering conceptions of teaching and learning. In B. Torff & R.J. Sternberg (Eds.), *Understanding and teaching the intuitive mind: Student and teacher learning* (pp. 187–216) Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Archambault, L., Wetzell, K., Foulger, T. S., & Kim Williams, M. (2010). Professional development 2.0: Transforming teacher education pedagogy with 21st century tools. *Journal of Digital Learning in Teacher Education*, 27(1), 4-11.
- Author, 2010
- Author, 2010
- Avalos, B. (2011). Teacher professional development in Teaching and Teacher Education over ten years. *Teaching and Teacher Education* 27(1): 10-20.
- Barker, B., & Brooks, D. (2005). An evaluation of short-term distributed online learning events. *International Journal on Elearning*, 4(2), 209-228.
- Ball, D., & Cohen, D. (1999). Developing practice, developing practitioners: Toward a practice-based theory of professional education. In G. Sykes & L. Darling-Hammond (Eds.), *Teaching as the learning profession: Handbook of policy and practice* (pp. 3-32). San Francisco: Jossey Bass.
- Borko, H. (2004). Professional Development and Teacher Learning: Mapping the Terrain. *Educational Researcher*, 99(8), 3-15.
- Bryan, L. A., & Abell, S. K. (1999). Development of Professional Knowledge in Learning to Teach Elementary Science. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 36(2), 121–139.
- Bryan, L. A., & Atwater, M. M. (2002). Teacher beliefs and cultural models: A challenge for science teacher preparation programs. *Science Education*, 86(6), 821 - 839
- Calderhead, J., & Robson, M. (1991). Images of teaching: Student teachers' early conceptions of classroom practice. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 7(1), 1-8.
- Carr-Chellman, A. (2000). The ideal online course. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 31(3), 229.
- Craik, K. J. W. (1967). *The nature of explanation*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Gentner, D., & Stevens, A. L. (1983). *Mental models*. Hillsdale, New Jersey: Lawrence Earlbaum Associates, Inc.
- Darling-Hammond (Eds.), *Teaching as the learning profession: Handbook of policy and practice* (pp. 3–32). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Duit, R. and D. Treagust (1998). Learning in Science-- From behaviorism towards social constructivism and beyond. *International Handbook of Science Education*. B. J. Fraser and K. G. Tobin. Great Britain, Kluwer Academic Publishers: 3-25.
- Duncan-Howell, J. (2010). Teachers making connections: Online communities as a source of professional learning. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 41(2), 324-340.
- Ertmer, P. A., & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. T. (2010). Teacher technology change: How knowledge, confidence, beliefs, and culture intersect. *Journal of research on Technology in Education*, 42(3), 255-284.
- Ertmer, P. A., Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. T., Sadik, O., Sendurur, E., & Sendurur, P. (2012). Teacher beliefs and technology integration practices: A critical relationship. *Computers & Education*, 59(2), 423-435.
- Eun, B. (2008). Making connections: Grounding professional development in the developmental theories of Vygotsky. *The Teacher Educator*, 43(2), 134-155.
- Gerard, L. F., Varma, K., Corliss, S. B., & Linn, M. C. (2011). Professional development for technology-enhanced inquiry science. *Review of Educational Research*, 81(3), 408-448.
- Harrington, H. L., & Hathaway, R. S. (1995). Illuminating Beliefs about Diversity. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 46(4), 275-284.
- Hewson, P. W. (2007). Teacher Professional Development in Science. In S. K. Abell & N. G. Lederman (Eds.), *Handbook of Research on Science Education* (pp. 1177-1202). Mahwah, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Hollingsworth, S. (1989). Prior beliefs and cognitive change in learning to teach. *American Educational Research Journal*, 26(2), 160–189.
- Jeanpierre, B., K. Oberhauser, et al. (2005). 'Characteristics of Professional Development That Effect Change in Secondary Science Teachers' Classroom Practices.' *Journal of Research in Science Teaching* 42(6): 668-690.
- Johnson-Laird, P. N. (2001). Mental models and deduction *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 5(10), 434-442
- Kim, C., Kim, M. K., Lee, C., Spector, J. M., & DeMeester, K. (2013). Teacher beliefs and technology integration. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 29, 76-85.
- Lortie, D. (1975). *Schoolteacher: A sociological study*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

- Lumpe, A. T. (2007). Research-based professional development: Teachers engaged in professional learning communities. *Journal of science teacher education*, 18(1), 125-128.
- Mackey, J. (2009). Virtual Learning and Real Communities: Online Professional Development for Teachers. In Stacey, E., & Gerbic, P. (Eds.), *Effective Blended Learning Practices: Evidence-Based Perspectives in ICT-Facilitated Education*. (pp. 163-181)
- McPherson, E. (2014). Informal learning in SME majors for African American female undergraduate. *Global Education Review*. 1(4)
- Moore, F. M. (2008). Preparing preservice teachers for urban elementary science classrooms: Challenging cultural biases toward diverse students. *Journal of Science Teacher Education*, 19(1), 8-109.
- Munby, H., & Russell, T. (1994). The Authority of Experience in Learning to Teach: Messages from a Physics Methods Class. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 45(2).
- Nespor, J. (1987). The role of beliefs in the practice of teaching. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 19(4), 317 - 328.
- Northrup, P. T. (2001). A framework for designing interactivity into web-based instruction. *Educational Technology*, 41(2), 31-39.
- Pajares, M. F. (1992). Teachers beliefs and educational research: Cleaning up a messy construct. *Review of Educational Research*, 62(2), 307-322.
- Palloff, R. M., & Pratt, K. (2011). *The excellent online instructor: Strategies for professional development*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Patrick, H., & Pintrich, P. R. (2001). Conceptual change in teachers' intuitive conceptions of learning, motivation, and instruction: The role of motivational and epistemological beliefs. In B. Torff & R. J. Sternberg (Eds.), *Understanding and teaching the intuitive mind* (pp. 117-143). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Richardson, V. (Ed.). (1997). *Constructivist teacher education: Building new understandings*. New York: Routledge.
- Ryan A. Wilke & Susan Carol Losh (2012) Exploring Mental Models of Learning and Instruction in Teacher Education, *Action in Teacher Education*, 34:3, 221-238, DOI:10.1080/01626620.2012.693241
- Schmeckler, J.M. (2003). Online training: An evaluation of the effectiveness and efficiency of training law enforcement personnel over the internet. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 12(3).
- Strauss, S. (2001). Folk psychology, folk pedagogy and their relations to subject matter knowledge. In B. Torff & R. J. Sternberg (Eds.), *Understanding and teaching the intuitive mind* (pp. 217-242). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Supovitz, J. A. and H. M. Turner (2000). 'The Effects of Professional Development on Science Teaching Practices and Classroom Culture.' *Journal of Research in Science Teaching* 37(9): 963-980.
- Threlkeld, R., & Brzoska, K. (1994). Research in distance education. In B. Willis (Ed.), *Distance education: Strategies and tools*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Educations Technology Publications, Inc.
- Timperley, H., A. Wilson, et al. (2007). *Teacher Professional Learning and Development: Best Evidence Synthesis Iteration [BES]*. N. Z. M. o. Education. Wellington, New Zealand: 344.
- US Department of Education (2004). New No Child Left Behind Flexibility: Highly Qualified Teachers. Retrieved from <http://www2.ed.gov/nclb/methods/teachers/hqtflexibility.html>
- van Driel, J. H., D. Beijaard, et al. (2001). 'Professional Development and Reform in Science Education: The Role of Teachers' Practical Knowledge.' *Journal of Research in Science Teaching* 38(2): 137
- Woolfolk Hoy, A., & Murphy, P. K. (2001). Teaching educational psychology to the implicit mind. In R. Sternberg & B. Torff (Eds.) *Understanding and teaching the implicit mind* (pp. 145-185). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.